

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5935 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

VINEET MUKUL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR TUSHAR MEHTA for Petitioner

MR. U.A. TRIVEDI, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1&2

MR BM MANGUKIYA for Respondent No. 3

MR. B.S. PATEL for respondent No. 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

Date of decision: 15/09/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. The learned counsel who appear for the respondents waive service of rule. The matter is taken up for final hearing at their instance.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the

Revisional Authority without going into the merits of the order made by the Registrar refused to entertain the Revision Application on the ground that the matter was pertaining to the provisions of Section 93 of the Act. According to the petitioner, the grievance of the petitioner was that the Registrar had without resorting to the provisions of Section 93 of the Act straightway made the order. That grievance ought to have been examined by the Revisional Authority. It is therefore directed that the Revisional Authority should consider the Revision Application of the petitioner on merits and take its own decision in accordance with law within four weeks of the receipt of this order. Rule is made absolute accordingly with no order as to costs.

00000

pkn